WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	٧.	•		EN OF DETERMINATE INDING TRIAL
	Seyran Gevorgyan	_ Case Numb	er:	09-6034M
and was repr				g was held on 1/16/2009. Defendant was preser he defendant is a flight risk and order the detentio
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of t	he United States or lawfully	y ad	mitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the	e Ur	nited States illegally.
	If released herein, the defenda Enforcement, placing him/her bey or otherwise removed.	nt faces removal proceed ond the jurisdiction of this C	dings Cour	s by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom t and the defendant has previously been deporte
	The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United State	es o	or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources i to assure his/her future appearan	n the United States from whose.	hich	he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior crimina	l history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	ico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	plicant but has no substai	ntial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordere	d.	
	The defendant attempted to evad	e law enforcement contact	by f	leeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximu	ım of	\	years imprisonment.
The ( at the time of 1.	Court incorporates by reference the me the hearing in this matter, except as There is a serious risk that the de	noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAY		ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cou
2.	No condition or combination of co	nditions will reasonably as: CTIONS REGARDING DE	TEN	e the appearance of the defendant as required. ITION 5/her designated representative for confinement i
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the Duited States Marshal for the Duited States Marshall for the Duited States Marshall for the One States Marshal	able, from persons awaiting able opportunity for private or the Government, the pers	or s cons con i	serving sentences or being held in custody pendin- sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cou- n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be filed	d wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to cone day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releast iciently in advance of the hearing be e potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be co fore the District Court to al	onsid Ilow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview an
DAT	ED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of January,	2009.		
		Jour Sun		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge